

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,053	10/23/2003	Novica Savic	100727-59 / Heraeus 411-K	7280	
27384	7590 12/14/2005		EXAM	INER	
NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, PA			LEWIS, R	LEWIS, RALPH A	
875 THIRD A		•			
18TH FLOOI	R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	, NY 10022		3732		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/692,053	SAVIC ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ralph A. Lewis	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) ⊠ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/23/03, 03/25/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.77(c) for lacking the section heading headings.

Objection to the Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.84 (i), (m) and (p) as being informal. The lines, numbers and letters lack uniformity and are fuzzy lacking sharp definition. Solid black shading is not permitted. No new matter should be entered.

Replacement drawings are required. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Rejections based on 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 2-11 applicant appears to be claiming the initial ingredients mixed together to form the fluorescent material layer rather than the composition of composite material formed by the ingredients. It seems unlikely that the layer once cured has the composition claimed. More particularly, in the final product the monomer, the cross-

Art Unit: 3732

linking agent and the initiator would have all reacted with one another such that there is no longer any (or little) monomer, crosslinking agent or initiator left. Applicant is claiming a final product – "a dental molding" comprised of three distinct layers connected together, not a kit for making the final product or some intermediate product. Applicant's attention is directed to *Exxon Chemical Patents Inc. v. Lubrizol Corp.*, (CAFC 1995) 35 USPQ2d 1801 for guidance on the issue.

In claims 3 and 4, it is unclear how the further claimed elements relate to those previously set forth in parent claim 2. More particularly, are these the previously claimed "additive" or are they additional ingredients? If additional ingredients, the examiner suggests language such as "further comprises" and if part of the additive it should be clearly stated.

In claim 11, line 1, there is no antecedent basis for "the fillers."

Rejections based on Prior Art

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pyungton (US 2,895,050).

Note particularly column 3, lines 12-24 which teach the use of fluorescent material in the intermediate layers of an artificial tooth.

Claims 1 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Panzera et al (US 5,653,791).

Note the teaching of an intermediate layer that may have a fluorescing agent (column 5, line 45) in an artificial tooth having layers.

Claims 1 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deguchi et al (US 6,063,830).

Deguchi et al disclose in Figures 1 and 2 a dental prosthesis having an outer incisal layer 1, a base (or dentin) layer 3 beneath the outer layer 1 and a middle dentin layer 2 between the two layers 1 and 2. At column 10, lines 58-61, Deguchi et al teach that fluorescences may be added to the middle dentin layer 2.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deguchi et al (US 6,063,830).

Deguchi et al disclose that the dentin middle layer 2 be comprised of monomers (b), poly(alkyl (meth) acrylate) (c) and a polymerization initiator (d) (see column 8, lines

Art Unit: 3732

53-56). The layer may also include additives which include pigments and fluorescences (column 10, lines 58-61). The monomers (b) may include many of those listed by applicant in dependent claim 5 (see column 8, lines 22-39), the poly(alkyl (meth) acrylate) (c) acts as a cross linking agent (note applicant's claim 6) and the initiator (see peroxides column 8, lines 3-5). While Deguchi et al disclose all the ingredients of claim 2, they fail to explicitly set forth the weight percentages of each ingredient, however, they do give ranges for the outer layer 1 (see column 7, lines 53-58) that fall well within the broad ranges claimed by applicant. Merely selecting amounts that would have fallen within applicant broadly claimed ranges would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan as a matter of routine, particularly in view of the ranges disclosed by Deguchi et al in the first layer.

In regard to claim 3, Deguchi fails to explicitly disclose a bead polymer. Bead polymers are common in composite dental restorations and the use of such in the Deguchi et al composite would have undoubtedly been obvious, the examiner notes that the claims to not require its presence with the language "up to 40 percent" which includes within its scope 0%.

Prior Art

Applicant's information disclosure statements of October 23, 2003 and March 25, 2004 have been considered and an initialed copy enclosed herewith.

Salck (US 2,377,382) and Temin et al (US 3,991,008) are made of record.

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Ralph Lewis** at telephone number **(571) 272-4712**. Fax (571) 273-8300. The examiner works a compressed work schedule and is unavailable every other Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver, can be reached at (571) 272-4720.

R.Lewis December 9, 2005

> Raiph A. Lewis Primary Examiner 443732